

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,859	01/15/2002	John C. Boehnke	DP-305036	5258
22851	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER	
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			TRAN, HIEN THI	
M/C 480-410-202 PO BOX 5052 TROY, MI 48007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/046,859	BOEHNKE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hien Tran	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) □ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-37 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) ⊠objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/15/02&10/07/02&1/15/0 1/15/02&10/07/02&1/15/09	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-7, in the reply filed on 3/4/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). The request for reclassify claims 17-24 is noted. However, it is not necessary at this time to reclassify the non-elected claims.
- 2. Claims 8-37 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3/4/05.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "118" (page 13, paragraph 0051); "320" (page 16, paragraph 0059). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/046,859

Art Unit: 1764

- 4. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the drawings to comply with CFR 1.84(p)(5), e.g. they should include the reference sign(s) mentioned in the specification and vice versa.

Specification

6. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1764

In claim 1, the preamble of the claim resembles Jepson format, however, the proper Jepson format should be --In an auto exhaust gas ... comprising, the improvement comprising:--; in lines 6-7 it is unclear as to what structural limitation applicants are attempting to recite and where the gasket is located with respect to other elements of the device".

In claim 2, the language of the claim is directed to method limitation which renders the claim vague and indefinite as it is unclear as to what structural limitation applicants are attempting to recite, it should be noted that the temperature is not a part of the device. See claim 3 likewise.

In claim 6, it is unclear as to what applicants are attempting to recite as the claim appears to be the same as claim 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1764

11. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art admitted in the preamble of instant claim 1, pages 1-3, 7-10 in instant specification in view of Usui et al (5,026,611) and the "Designer's guide".

In the preamble of instant claim 1 and pages 1-3, 7-10 in the instant specification, applicants admitted as prior art a device, such as a catalytic converter or a diesel particulate trap comprising: a silicon-carbide substrate; a metallic housing; and an intumescent gasket.

The admitted apparatus is substantially the same as that of the instant claims, but fails to disclose the specific material of the housing as recited.

However, Usui et al discloses the conventionality of using austenitic stainless steel for making the housing.

The Designer's guide also discloses that the housing may be formed from stainless steel, such as ferritic stainless steel with expansion coefficient of $12x10^{-6}$ cm/cm- 0 C, austenitic stainless steel with expansion coefficient of $18x10^{-6}$ cm/cm- 0 C, wherein the oxidation resistance and formability specifications of the ferritic stainless steel are less desirable than the austenitic types.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to alternatively select an appropriate material for the housing, such as austenitic stainless steel as taught by Usui et al and the Designer's guide, as such austenitic stainless steel material provides a higher oxidation resistance and formability specification and since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to claim 7, the Designer's guide discloses the conventionality of using an intumescent gasket made of vermiculite.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to alternatively select an appropriate material for the intumescent gasket, such as vermiculite, as taught by the Designer's guide, in the admitted apparatus in the preamble of instant claim 1, pages 1-3, 7-10 in instant specification, if not inherent therein, as such type of intumescent material is conventional in the art, no cause for patentability here and since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1454. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1454. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1764

HT

Hen I ran

Page 7

Hien Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764